Ser. No. 8/284/893

REMARKS

As originally filed, Claim 1 did not require the presence of post-consumer recycled resin. It merely called for a multilayered container where a layer of polypropylene sits inside of a layer of polyethylene. Since the filing of his application, Applicant has learned of the sale and public use of such a container. The container, however, did not contain any post-consumer recycled resin. The polypropylene did not have the purpose of blocking the migration of contaminants that a post-consumer recycled resin might contain. Further, it did not, in fact, inhibit the passage of such impurities since the polyethylene contained none.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended Claim 1 and its dependencies to require the presence of a post-consumer recycled polyethylene sitting on the outside of the polypropylene. No prior art teaches or suggests this construction. Accordingly, it displays patentable merit over the known prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

Eugene F. Friedmán

Attorney for Applicant

Keg. No. 25,627